


















Corporate Risk Assurance Map - Summary - Qtr 3 2018/19

No.	Outcome of Risk Realisation	Risk Owner	Direction of Travel	Overall Confidence	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score
CR1	External (Political and Legislative) Environment	SE Strategic Hub - Karen Gowreesunker					
1.1	Public Service Reform enables new duties and/or major changes to the governance, structure, role or activities of the fire and rescue service requiring major re-organisation, resulting in an inability to deliver against organisational strategy and planned community outcomes.				3	2	6
1.2	The Fire Authority is unable to positively position itself within public service reform to sustain and create new services resulting in reduced confidence, credibility and/or reputational damage.				3	3	9
CR2	People	SE People - Sarah Warnes (2.3 - Steve Vincent)					
2.1	The Fire Authority is unable to maintain positive staff consultation and engagement, resulting in an inability to deliver strategic objectives, outcomes and continuous improvement.				3	3	9
2.2	The Fire Authority is unable to deliver its Service Delivery Model effectively, as a result of insufficient or ineffective employees, throughout the organisation, resulting in reduced confidence and credibility; and increased reputational damage.				3	3	9
2.3	The Fire Authority is unable to meet statutory duties to provide a safe and healthy workplace and protect the environment, resulting in a significant failure and reduced confidence and credibility; and increased criminal proceedings, litigation and reputational damage.				2	3	6
CR3	Delivery of Services - Prevention	SE Prevention - Jason Campbell					
3.1	The Fire Authority is unable to engage with the most vulnerable members of the community and reduce community risk resulting in increased fire and non-fire related incidents, fatalities and injuries.				3	3	9

3.2	The Fire Authority is unable to establish effective partnership arrangements and deliver community outcomes, resulting in a significant impact upon the organisation's financial standing, reputation and ability to deliver key objectives.				2	2	4
-----	--	--	---	--	---	---	---

No.	Outcome of Risk Realisation	Risk Owner	Direction of Travel	Overall Confidence	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score
CR4	Delivery of Services - Protection	SE Protection - Steve Taylor					
4.1	The Fire Authority is unable to effectively discharge its duties under the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order and associated legislation, resulting in a decline in non-domestic fire safety standards; reduced confidence and credibility; and increased litigation and reputational damage.				2	3	6
CR5	Delivery of Services – Response	SE Response - Simon Barry					
5.1	The Fire Authority is unable to ensure that operational incidents are dealt with safely, assertively and effectively using appropriate levels of resources and personnel, resulting in increased firefighter and community risk; reduced confidence and credibility; and increased reputational damage.				2	4	8
5.2	The Fire Authority is unable to maintain its command and control function, resulting in an inability to receive, process and respond to emergency calls effectively, so increasing community risk; reducing confidence and credibility; and increasing reputational damage.				2	3	6
CR6	Business Continuity & Preparedness	SE Organisational Preparedness - Steve Vincent					
6.1	The Fire Authority is unable to provide business continuity arrangements, to maintain delivery of core functions, as a result of extensive disruption to normal working arrangements, including national and international deployments, significant and major events, resulting in increased community risk; reduced confidence; increased reputational damage; and external scrutiny.				3	3	9
CR7	Information, Communications and Technology	SE ICT - Jason Danbury					
7.1	The Fire Authority is unable to provide and maintain an effective ICT provision to support the delivery of core functions, resulting in significant disruption to the organisation's functionality, reduced confidence, credibility, reputational damage and external scrutiny.				2	3	6

7.2	The Fire Authority is unable to provide effective management and security of organisational information and documentation including the receipt, storage, sharing and transfer of information and data, resulting in reputational damage, litigation, substantial fines and external scrutiny.				2	3	6
-----	--	--	---	--	---	---	---

No.	Outcome of Risk Realisation	Risk Owner	Direction of Travel	Overall Confidence	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score
CR8	Finance & Assets	SE Finance and Resources - Mike Griffiths					
8.1	The Fire Authority is unable to deliver its statutory responsibilities, predominantly through the Service Delivery Model, due to insufficient funds, resulting in external scrutiny and intervention; reduced confidence and credibility; and increased reputational damage.				3	3	9
8.2	The Fire Authority is unable to deliver effective financial management arrangements, due to misuse of funds, resulting in external scrutiny, intervention and litigation.				2	3	6
CR9	Business Development	SE Business Development - Preith Shergill					
9.1	The Fire Authority is unable to create, grow and sustain appropriate alternative funding opportunities and meet financial targets, through the delivery of these opportunities via the Service Delivery Model. This will result in a budget shortfall impacting upon our ability to maintain the Service Delivery Model and delivery of core services.				4	1	4
9.2	The Fire Authority is unable to meet contractually binding arrangements for the provision of commissioned and/or paid services resulting in litigation; reduced confidence and credibility; and increased reputational damage.				2	2	4