APPENDIX A

WEST MIDLANDS FIRE SERVICE



Annual Treasury Management Review 2016/17

Introduction

This Authority is required by regulations issued under the Local Government Act 2003 to produce an annual treasury management review of activities and the actual prudential and treasury indicators for 2016/17. This report meets the requirements of both the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management (the Code) and the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the Prudential Code).

During 2016/17 the minimum reporting requirements were that the Authority should receive the following reports:

- an annual treasury strategy in advance of the year (Authority 15/02/2016)
- a mid-year treasury update report (Audit Committee 14/11/2016)
- an annual review following the end of the year describing the activity compared to the strategy (this report)

This report provides details of the outturn position for treasury activities and highlights compliance with the Authority's policies previously approved by Members.

During 2016/17 the Authority complied with its legislative and regulatory requirements. The key actual prudential and treasury indicators detailing the impact of capital expenditure activities during the year, with comparators, are as follows:

Actual prudential and treasury indicators	2015/16	2016/17
Actual capital expenditure	£3.075m	£3.677m
Total Capital Financing Requirement	£39.508m	£38.764m
Financing costs to net revenue stream	2.84%	2.70%

The Treasurer confirms that no borrowing was undertaken for any capital purpose during 2016/17 and that the statutory borrowing limit (the authorised limit), was not breached.

As at 31^{st} March 2017, the Authority's external debt was £38.627m (£39.700m as at 31^{st} March 2017) and its investment totalled £58.022m (£54.120m as at 31^{st} March 2016).

2. The Economy and Interest Rates

The two major landmark events that had a significant influence on financial markets in the 2016/17 financial year were the UK EU referendum on 23 June and the election of President Trump in the USA on 9 November. The first event had an immediate impact in terms of market expectations of when the first increase in Bank Rate would happen, pushing it back from quarter 3 2018 to quarter 4 2019. At its 4 August meeting, the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) cut Bank Rate from 0.5% to 0.25% and the Bank of England's Inflation Report produced forecasts warning of a major shock to economic activity in the UK, which would cause economic growth to fall almost to zero in the second half of 2016. The MPC also warned that it would be considering cutting Bank Rate again towards the end of 2016 in order to support growth. In addition, it restarted quantitative easing with purchases of £60bn of gilts and £10bn of corporate bonds, and also introduced the Term Funding Scheme whereby potentially £100bn of cheap financing was made available to banks.

In the second half of 2016, the UK economy confounded the Bank's pessimistic forecasts of August. After a disappointing guarter 1 of only +0.2% GDP growth, the three subsequent quarters of 2016 came in at +0.6%, +0.5% and +0.7% to produce an annual growth for 2016 overall, compared to 2015, of 1.8%, which was very nearly the fastest rate of growth of any of the G7 countries. Needless to say, this meant that the MPC did not cut Bank Rate again after August but, since then, inflation has risen rapidly due to the effects of the sharp devaluation of sterling after the referendum. By the end of March 2017, sterling was 17% down against the dollar but had not fallen as far against the euro. In February 2017, the latest CPI inflation figure had risen to 2.3%, above the MPC's inflation target of 2%. However, the MPC's view was that it would look through near term supply side driven inflation, (i.e. not raise Bank Rate), caused by sterling's devaluation, despite forecasting that inflation would reach nearly 3% during 2017 and 2018. This outlook, however, is dependent on domestically generated inflation, (i.e. wage inflation), continuing to remain subdued despite the fact that unemployment is at historically very low levels and is on a downward trend. Market expectations for the first increase in Bank Rate moved forward to guarter 3 2018 by the end of March 2017 in response to increasing concerns around inflation.

USA. Quarterly growth in the US has been very volatile during 2016 but a strong performance since mid-2016, and strongly rising inflation, prompted the Fed into raising rates in December 2016 and March 2017. The US is the first major western country to start on a progressive upswing in rates. Overall growth in 2016 was 1.6%.

EU. The EU is furthest away from an upswing in rates; the European Central Bank (ECB) has cut rates into negative territory, provided huge tranches of cheap financing and been doing major quantitative easing purchases of debt during 2016/17 in order to boost growth from consistently weak levels, and to get inflation up from near zero towards its target of 2%. These purchases have resulted in depressed bond yields in the EU, but, towards the end of 2016, yields rose, probably due at least in part to rising political concerns around the positive prospects for populist parties and impending general elections in 2017 in the

Netherlands, France and Germany. The action taken by the ECB has resulted in economic growth improving significantly in the eurozone to an overall figure of 1.7% for 2016, with Germany achieving a rate of 1.9% as the fastest growing G7 country.

3. The Authority's Capital Expenditure and Financing

The Authority undertakes capital expenditure on long-term assets. These activities may either be:

- Financed immediately through the application of capital or revenue resources (capital receipts, capital grants, revenue contributions etc.), which has no resultant impact on the Authority's borrowing need; or
- If insufficient financing is available, or a decision is taken not to apply resources, the capital expenditure will give rise to a borrowing need.

	2015/16 Actual £m	2016/17 Actual £m
Total capital expenditure	3.075	3.677
Resourced by:		
Capital receipts	0	1.122
Capital grants	3.075	2.388
Revenue Contribution to Capital	0	0.167
Capital Expenditure Financed from Borrowing	0	0

4. Overall Treasury Position as at 31 March 2017

The Authority's underlying need to borrow for capital expenditure is termed the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). This figure is a gauge of the Authority's debt position. The CFR results from the capital activity of the Authority and what resources have been used to pay for the capital spend. It represents the 2016/17 unfinanced capital expenditure, and prior years' net or unfinanced capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for by revenue or other resources.

Part of the Authority's treasury activities is to address the funding requirements for this borrowing need. Depending on the capital expenditure programme, the treasury service organises the Authority's cash position to ensure that sufficient cash is available to meet the capital plans and cash flow requirements. This may be sourced through borrowing from external bodies (such as the Government, through the Public Works Loan Board [PWLB] or the money markets), or utilising temporary cash resources.

Reducing the CFR – the Authority's underlying borrowing need (CFR) is not allowed to rise indefinitely. Statutory controls are in place to ensure that capital assets are broadly charged to revenue over the life of the asset. The Authority is required to make an annual revenue charge, called the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), to reduce the CFR. This is effectively a repayment of the borrowing need. This differs from the treasury management arrangements which ensure that cash is available to meet capital commitments. External debt can also be borrowed or repaid at any time, but this does not change the CFR.

The total CFR can also be reduced by:

- the application of additional capital financing resources (such as unapplied capital receipts);
- charging more than the statutory revenue charge (MRP) each year through a Voluntary Revenue Provision (VRP).

The Authority's Treasury Management Strategy Report for 2016/17 was approved 15th February 2016.

The Authority's CFR for the year is shown below, and represents a key prudential indicator.

CFR	31 March 2016 Actual £m	31 March 2017 Actual £m
Opening balance	40.211	39.508
Add unfinanced capital expenditure	0	0
Less MRP	(0.703)	(0.744)
Less VRP	0	0
Closing balance	39.508	38.764

Borrowing activity is constrained by prudential indicators for gross borrowing and the CFR, and by the authorised limit.

Gross borrowing and the CFR - in order to ensure that borrowing levels are prudent over the medium term and only for a capital purpose, the Authority should ensure that its gross external borrowing does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the capital financing requirement in the preceding year (2016/17) plus the estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current (2017/18) and next two financial years. This essentially means that the Authority is not borrowing to support revenue expenditure. This indicator allows the Authority some flexibility to borrow in advance of its immediate capital needs in 2016/17.

	31 March 2016 Actual £m	31 March 2017 Actual £m
External Debt	39.700	38.627
Investments	54.119	58.022
Net Borrowing Position	(14.419)	(19.395)
CFR	39.508	38.764

The authorised limit - the authorised limit is the "affordable borrowing limit" required by s3 of the Local Government Act 2003. The Authority does not have the power to borrow above this level. The table below demonstrates that during 2016/17 the Authority has maintained gross borrowing within its authorised limit.

The operational boundary – the operational boundary is the expected borrowing position of the Authority during the year. Periods where the actual position is either below or over the boundary is acceptable subject to the authorised limit not being breached.

Actual financing costs as a proportion of net revenue stream - this indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

	2015/16	2016/17
Authorised limit	£48m	£47m
Operational boundary	£44m	£43m
Maximum gross borrowing position	£41m	£40m
Financing costs as a proportion of net revenue stream	2.84%	2.70%

5. Overall Treasury Position as at 31 March 2017

The Authority's debt and investment position is organised with the treasury management service at Sandwell MBC with whom a pooling of bank accounts arrangement exists in order to ensure adequate liquidity for revenue and capital activities, security for investments and to manage risks within all treasury management activities.

Procedures and controls to achieve these objectives are well established both through Member reporting, and through officer activity detailed in the Authority's Treasury Management Practices.

	31 March 2016 Principal £m	Rate/ Return	31 March 2017 Principal £m	Rate/ Return
Fixed Rate Loans:				
PWLB	£36.1	5.6%	£35.2	5.5%
Ex WMCC	<u>£3.6</u>	<u>6.9%</u>	<u>£3.4</u>	<u>6.8%</u>
Total Debt	£39.7	5.7%	£38.6	5.6%
CFR	£39.5		£38.8	
Over / (under) borrowing	£0.2		(£0.2)	
Investments:				
Sandwell MBC	£54.1	0.5%	£58.0	0.4%
Net Debt	(£14.4)		(£19.4)	

At the beginning and the end of 2016/17 the Authority's position was as follows:

The maturity structure of the debt portfolio was as follows:

	31 March 2016 Actual £m	31 March 2017 Actual £m
Under 12 months	1.073	1.264
12 months and within 24 months	1.264	1.361
24 months and within 5 years	3.688	4.467
5 years and within 10 years	5.414	4.033
10 years and above	28.261	27.502

6. The Strategy for 2016/17

The expectation for interest rates within the treasury management strategy for 2016/17 anticipated low but rising Bank Rate, (starting in quarter 1 of 2017) and gradual rises in medium and longer term fixed borrowing rates during 2016/17. Variable, or short-term rates, were expected to be the cheaper form of borrowing over the period. Continued uncertainty in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis promoted a cautious approach, whereby investments would continue to be dominated by low counterparty risk considerations, resulting in relatively low returns compared to borrowing rates.

In this scenario, the treasury strategy was to postpone borrowing to avoid the cost of holding higher levels of investments and to reduce counterparty risk.

During 2016/17 there was major volatility in PWLB rates with rates falling during quarters 1 and 2 to reach historically very low levels in July and August, before rising during quarter 3, and then partially easing back towards the end of the year.

7. Borrowing Rates in 2016/17

PWLB certainty maturity borrowing rates - the graph below shows how PWLB certainty rates have fallen to historically very low levels during the year.



8. Borrowing Outturn for 2016/17

Borrowing - No borrowing was undertaken during 2016/17.

Rescheduling – No rescheduling was undertaken during 2016/17.

9. Investment Rates in 2016/17

After the EU referendum, Bank Rate was cut from 0.5% to 0.25% on 4 August and remained at that level for the rest of the year. Market expectations as to the timing of the start of monetary tightening started the year at quarter 3 2018, but then moved back to around the end of 2019 in early August before finishing the year back at quarter 3 2018. Deposit rates continued into the start of 2016/17 at previous depressed levels but then fell during the first two quarters and fell even further after the 4 August MPC meeting resulted in a large tranche of cheap financing being made available to the banking sector by the Bank of England. Rates made a weak recovery towards the end of 2016 but then fell to fresh lows in March 2017.



10. Investment Outturn for 2016/17

Investment Policy – the Authority's investment policy is governed by CLG guidance, which was been implemented in the annual investment strategy approved by the Authority on 15th February 2016. This policy sets out the approach for choosing investment counterparties, and is based on credit ratings provided by the three main credit rating agencies supplemented by additional market data (such as rating outlooks, credit default swaps, bank share prices etc.).

The investment activity during the year conformed to the approved strategy, and the Authority had no liquidity difficulties.

Investments held by the Authority - the Authority maintained an average balance of £66.7m of internally managed funds. The internally managed funds earned an average rate of return of 0.42%. The comparable performance indicator is the average 7-day LIBID rate, which was 0.20%. This compares with a budget assumption of £66.7m investment balances earning an average rate of 0.45%.