

# **WEST MIDLANDS FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY**

## **SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

**5 SEPTEMBER 2018**

### **1. AN ANALYSIS OF PROGRESS OF QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE AGAINST 'THE PLAN' – QUARTER ONE 2018/2019**

Report of the Chief Fire Officer.

#### **RECOMMENDED**

- 1.1 THAT the Committee note the status of the Service's key performance indicators in the first quarter of 2018/2019 (Appendix 1).
- 1.2 THAT the Committee note the progress made in delivering the three strategic priorities contained in 'The Plan' 2018-2021 (Appendix 1).
- 1.3 THAT the Committee note the update on the performance information system detailed in section 5 of this report.

### **2. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

This report is submitted to provide the Committee with an analysis of the organisation's performance against 'The Plan' for 2018-2021.

### **3. BACKGROUND**

The first Quarterly Performance Review meeting of 2018/2019 was held on 31 July 2018. This quarterly meeting, attended by the Chair of the Scrutiny Committee, members of the Strategic Enabling Team and other key stakeholders, provides a joined up method of managing performance and provides assurance around the ongoing performance of 'The Plan'.

### **4. PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

- 4.1 The setting of targets against the operational and other performance

indicators enables the Service to define in key areas the improvements which contribute to making the West Midlands safer, stronger and healthier, and to manage the resources allocated to this work. The Service continues to improve and meet targets across a range of indicators.

4.2 The performance indicators remain the same as per 2017/18 with the exception of those that relate to arson where there has been a change of terminology. These are PI 8, PI 9, PI 10, PI 11 and PI 12. The phrase 'Arson' has been changed to 'Deliberate'. Deliberate fires include those where the motive for the fire was 'thought to be' or 'suspected to be' deliberate. This includes fires to an individual's own property, others' property or property of an unknown owner. Despite deliberate fire records including arson, deliberate fires are not the same as arson. Arson is defined under the Criminal Damage Act of 1971 as 'an act of attempting to destroy or damage property, and/or in doing so, to endanger life'. This change of terminology aligns the Service to other Metropolitan Fire and Rescue Services, to the Police and other agencies.

4.3 Appendix 1 details the performance against our:

- Service Delivery Performance Indicators (Response, Prevention and Protection)
- People Support Services Performance Indicators
- Safety, Health and Environment Performance Indicators
- Strategic Objectives as outlined in 'The Plan' and milestones due for completion within the year 2018/2019.

#### 4.4 Service Delivery Performance Indicators

##### 4.4.1 Response:

- PI 1 – the risk based attendance standard; performance continues to be positive, with the targets having been met for all four categories of incident type. The performance is rated as over performance against the tolerance levels (blue).
- Average attendance times for Category 1 incidents (the most critical and important of the four categories) is 4 minutes 43 seconds in quarter one, a decrease of ten seconds compared to the previous quarter.

- Average attendance times for Category 2, 3 and 4 Incident Types remain well within their respective targets:
  - Category 2 Incident Type: 5 minutes 26 seconds (a decrease of ten seconds) – the target is under 7 minutes.
  - Category 3 Incident Type: 4 minutes 58 seconds (a decrease of three seconds) – the target is under 10 minutes.
  - Category 4 Incident Type: 6 minutes 29 seconds (a decrease of nine seconds) – the target is under 20 minutes.

#### 4.4.2 Prevention:

- There are two areas where over performance has been demonstrated against the tolerance levels (blue):
  - PI 6 The number of Safe and Well points achieved by the Brigade.
  - PI 11 The number of deliberate rubbish fires.
- The performance indicators for the following areas demonstrate performance is within the tolerance levels (green):
  - PI 2 The number of accidental dwelling fires.
  - PI 3 Injuries from accidental fires in dwellings, taken to hospital for treatment.
  - PI 8 The number of deliberate fires in dwellings.
  - PI 9 The number of deliberate fires in non-domestic premises.
  - PI 10 The number of deliberate vehicle fires.
  - PI 12 The number of deliberate fires in derelict buildings.
- There is one area where under performance has been demonstrated against the tolerance levels (red):
  - PI 5 The percentage of Safe and Well visits referred by our partners.
- The following two performance indicators do not have a performance rating assigned:
  - PI 4 The number of deaths from accidental fires in dwellings.

- PI 7 The number of people killed or seriously injured in Road Traffic Collisions.

#### 4.4.3 Protection:

- The performance indicators for the following areas demonstrate performance is within the tolerance levels (green):
  - PI 13 – The number of accidental fires in non-domestic premises.
  - PI 14 – The number of false alarm calls due to fire alarm equipment in dwellings and non-domestic premises.

#### 4.5 People Support Services Performance Indicators

##### 4.5.1 The performance indicators for the following areas demonstrate performance is within the tolerance levels (green):

- PI 15 – The percentage of employees that have disclosed their disabled status.
- PI 16 – The number of female uniformed staff.
- PI 17 – The percentage of all staff from black and ethnic minority (BME) communities.
- PI 17a – The percentage of uniformed staff from BME communities
- PI 18 – The average number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness (all staff).
- PI 19 – The average number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness (uniformed and Fire Control staff).

##### 4.5.2 There is one area where under performance has been demonstrated against the tolerance levels (red):

- PI 20 – The average number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness (non-uniformed employees).

#### 4.6 Safety, Health and Environment Performance Indicators

##### 4.6.1 There are two areas where under performance has been demonstrated against the tolerance levels (red):

- PI 21 – The total number of injuries

- PI 22 – The total number of RIDDOR injuries.

4.6.2 The following performance indicators are all reported on an annual basis (in quarter four):

- PI 23 – To reduce the Fire Authority's carbon emissions
- PI 24 – To reduce the gas use of Fire Authority premises.
- PI 25 – To reduce the electricity use of Fire Authority premises.

## 5. **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

- 5.1 The InPhase performance management system has now been implemented and continues to be embedded across the organisation. It is envisaged that InPhase will continue to be further developed where applicable.
- 5.2 Full engagement with staff and departments across the organisation continues with InPhase, ensuring the involvement of all key stakeholders and end users.
- 5.3 3PT is an acronym for a layered approach to portfolio, programme, project, and task management.
- 5.4 Development of the 3PT infrastructure as a project itself has been completed. It is now being embedded across the Service to manage performance in a project environment as work streams are identified for implementation.

## 6. **CORPORATE RISK**

- 6.1 Corporate Risks are those risks that, if realised, would seriously affect the Service's ability to carry out its core functions or deliver key objectives.
- 6.2 In accordance with the Corporate Risk Management Strategy, all risks maintained within the Corporate Risk Register have been reviewed by Senior Risk Owners in order to update the relevant triggers, impacts and control measures and determine a relevant risk score, if appropriate, based on assessment of likelihood and impact.
- 6.3 A report of progress against our Corporate Risks is submitted separately to the Audit and Risk Committee.

## 7. **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

In preparing this report, an initial Equality Impact Assessment is not required and has not been carried out. The matters contained within this report will not lead to a policy change.

## 8. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

The course of action recommended in this report does not raise issues which should be drawn to the attention of the Authority's Monitoring Officer.

## 9. **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 9.1 The level of response, protection and prevention resources required to achieve the targets for the operational indicators shown in Appendix 1 were considered as part of the Authority's 2018/2019 budget setting process which established a total budget requirement of £95.477 million. As at the end of June 2018 actual expenditure was £27.484 million compared to a profiled budget of £27.506 million resulting in a £0.022 million underspend.
- 9.2 The delivery of services which contribute to the performance achievements comprise of goods such as smoke alarms and staff time. The staff time includes those who are solely engaged in prevention work and watch based staff that provide emergency response as well as prevention services.
- 9.3 The full year budget for smoke alarms and other supporting materials in 2018/2019 is £329,900. Actual expenditure as at the end of June 2018 was £78,200. Expenditure for the first quarter is in line with the profiled budget.

## 10. **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications arising from this report.

**BACKGROUND PAPERS**

‘The Plan 2018-21’ Strategic Objectives.

Corporate Action Plan updates.

Corporate Risk Position Statement Quarter 1 2018/19 (exception report).

The contact name for this report is Gary Taylor (Assistant Chief Fire Officer), telephone number 0121 380 6006.

PHIL LOACH  
CHIEF FIRE OFFICER